Submitting counsel on signature page.

2 |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

3

1

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

45

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

_

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB-LJC

7 8

9

10

11

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND

This Document Relates to:

MOTION TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO 15 U.S.C. § 1681B(A)(1)

All Cases

Magistrate Judge: Honorable Lisa J. Cisneros

12

13

14

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD, AND TO ACCURATE BACKGROUND AND ITS COUNSEL:

Please take notice that on a date and time to be set by the Court, before the Honorable Lisa J.

15 16 17

Cisneros in Courtroom G on the 15th Floor of the San Francisco Courthouse for the above-entitled Court, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Plaintiffs by and through the

18

undersigned counsel, will and hereby do, move the Court for an Order pursuant to 15 U.S.C.

19 20 § 1681b(a)(1) for the potential disclosure of consumer reports furnished to Defendant Uber

21

Technologies, Inc. by third party Accurate Background, LLC (successor in interest to Hirease, Inc.)

21

("Accurate").

BACKGROUND

23

24

Through Defendant Fact Sheet (DFS) discovery, Uber was required to produce background checks it obtained for the Uber drivers who Plaintiffs allege sexually harassed and assaulted them

25

during Uber rides (collectively, the "subject drivers"). PTO 10. However, despite Uber's purported

2627

policy of obtaining background checks for all drivers on an annual basis, Uber's productions did not

28

include background checks for all drivers, for every year. Indeed, Plaintiffs considered Uber's DFS

production substantially deficient. See, e.g., ECF 2849 at 5–6. To ensure that they had a full and complete record of all background checks performed on subject drivers on Uber's behalf, counsel for bellwether plaintiffs issued third party subpoenas to the entities that Uber used to perform background checks on its drivers, including Accurate. Hoefs Decl. Ex. A, Accurate's Objections and Responses to Bellwether Plaintiffs' Subpoenas. Subpoenas were served on Accurate in connection with the following cases:

Case Number	Plaintiff
3:24-cv-07019	LCHB128
3:23-cv-06708	Jaylynn Dean
3:24-cv-07940	B.L.
3:24-cv-07821	A. R. 2
3:23-cv-04972	C.L.
3:24-cv-05027	WHB 1898
3:24-cv-01915	A.G.
3:24-cv-01827	T.R. for A.R. [A.R.1]
3:24-cv-09217	T. L.
3:24-cv-05028	WHB 407
3:24-cv-04889	WHB 318
3:24-cv-04900	WHB 832
3:24-cv-08783	Jane Doe QLF 001
3:24-cv-05281	K.E.
3:24-cv-06669	Jane Roe CL 68
3:24-cv-04803	WHB 1486
3:24-cv-08937	Amanda Lazio
3:24-cv-05230	WHB 1876
3:24-cv-07228	D. J.
3:24-cv-03335	J.E.

Each subpoena that the bellwether plaintiffs served on Accurate contained an identical Request 1:

Please produce all documents YOU generated relating in any way to the Subject Driver, whether or not shared with Uber, including but not limited to the complete background check and any background check re-runs.

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

2627

28

See id. The "Subject Driver," or the driver who had committed sexually assaulted or harassed the bellwether plaintiff during the Uber ride that forms the basis of her lawsuit, was identified individually in each subpoena. Requests 2 and 3 have been resolved.

ARGUMENT

Accurate is a consumer reporting agency that potentially generated consumer reports (i.e., background checks reports) on the Uber's drivers within the meaning of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d), (f) on behalf of Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.. Accordingly, Accurate "may furnish a consumer report" under the circumstances delineated by 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(a) "and no other." These circumstances include furnishing a report "in response to the order of a court." 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(a)(1); Young v. Trans Union, 616 Fed.Appx. 301, 302 (9th Cir. 2015) ("consumer reporting agencies may furnish consumer reports in response to a proper court order"). In response to Request 1, Accurate stated "upon entry of an appropriate § 1681b order, and pursuant to the applicable Protective Order, Accurate will produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession." Hoefs Decl., Ex. A. Here, the background checks performed on Uber's drivers, potentially performed by Accurate, are central to Plaintiffs' negligence claims, among others. See MCL ¶ 17, 160, 163, 165–66, 216, 365. Plaintiffs have sought complete records of the background checks performed from Uber through party discovery but because Uber has not produced all background checks for all drivers, for all years of activity, Plaintiffs need to obtain the background checks directly from the background check companies, which, in turn, requires a Court order. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(a)(1).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter an Order authorizing third party Accurate to produce consumer reports for the Subject Drivers in the identified cases, if in existence, generated on behalf of Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.

1	Dated: June 11, 2025	Respectfully submitted,
2		By: /s/ Sarah R. London
3		Sarah R. London (SBN 267083) GIRARD SHARP LLP
4		601 California St., Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94108
5		Telephone: (415) 981-4800 slondon@girardsharp.com
6		By: /s/ Rachel B. Abrams
7		Rachel B. Abrams (SBN 209316) PEIFFER WOLF CARR KANE
8		CONWAY & WISE, LLP 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820
9		San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 426-5641
10		Facsimile: (415) 840-9435 rabrams@peifferwolf.com
11	11	By: <u>/s/ Roopal P. Luhana</u>
12		Roopal P. Luhana CHAFFIN LUHANA LLP
13		600 Third Avenue, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016
14		Telephone: (888) 480-1123 Facsimile: (888) 499-1123
15		luhana@chaffinluhana.com
16		Counsel for Plaintiffs
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 4 -

FILER'S ATTESTATION I am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that the signatories above concurred in this filing. Dated: June 11, 2025 By: /s/ Samantha Hoefs Samantha Hoefs

- 5 -